

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOO	CKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/204,236 12/03/1998		•	GREGORY S. HAMILTON	AR218-X		5251	
29728	7590	03/30/2006				EXAM	INER
GUILFORI	MACEUTICA	CHANG, CELIA C					
FOLEY & L	ARDNE	R LLP					
3000 K STREET, NW					ART UNI	T	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5143					1625		

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summers	09/204,236	HAMILTON ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Celia Chang	1625						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	hruary 2006							
·= · ·	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·							
•	r purio quayro, 1000 C.D. 11, 40	0 0.0. 210.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>90-111</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>97,98,106 and 107</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 90-96,99-105 and 108-111 is/are reject	cted.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	• •						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•		(1) (2)						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
Attachment(s)	_							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						

Application/Control Number: 09/204,236

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 90-111 are pending. Newly amended claims 90-11 have been entered.

Initially, it is noted that the instant pending claims are not commensurate with the claims being elected and prosecuted as of record. Please note that a restriction was made, see paper dated Oct. 19, 1999. Applicants elected group I compounds with the method of treating rejoined to the elected compounds. No rejoinder was made with respect to composition of multiple/combination active ingredients or method of using such multiple/combination active ingredients. Multiple/combination active ingredients composition or method are patentably independent and distinct since patentability of such combination does not depend solely on the novelty of compound per se. Search and examination on the merit must be made with respect to the combination elements, dosage and sequence or site of administration. Such combinations do not share the same inventive concept, thus must be searched and examined separately. It is a tremendous burden to the office to search the combination including such tremendous factors of the claims including those that has not yet been discovered. Therefore, claims 97-98, 106-107 corresponding to the withdrawn nonelected claims 12-13, 26-71 of record (see office action 1/27/2000) should stay withdrawn from consideration.

The inadvertent erroneous inclusion of claims 97-98, 106-107 in the previous rejections are hereby corrected.

Claims 90-96, 99-105, 108-111 are pending.

2. In view of the newly amended scope, the rejections of the previous office action are moot in view of the following new ground of rejection.

Applicants have limited the R2 moieties to the heterocyclic moieties of claim 90 or the free acid moieties of claim 99 the following new ground of rejection is now applicable.

Claims 90-96, 99-105, 108-111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description as well as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention; or the specification has

Art Unit: 1625

been described in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to operate/use the invention.

Lack of description

To the extend that the scope of the term "neurological disorder" encompassed all and every neurological disorder, the specification lacks description for such scope. Especially, the scope of neurological disorder included diseases such as Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease, diabetic neuropathies etc. for which no antecedent basis or description can be found for such scope.

On page 24, lines 17-20, a statement was made that for *compounds which cannot* penetrate the blood-brain barrier can be effectively administered by an intraventricular route or other appropriate delivery system suitable for administration to the brain. Such description is insufficient in providing how to operate for the methods. Please note that intraventricular through the cardiac ventricle does not obviate the blood-brain barrier. In addition, delivering drug to the brain directly i.e. intracranial administration, is very complexed. Such process frequently causes damage to the brain and has been used as a research tool to cause brain damage (see Biosis 199800098094 or Biosis 199396031042). The specification provides none of the composition or dosage preparation or guidelines for such route of administration, thus, no guidance was provided in the specification for intracranial administration. Therefore, in so far as administration directly to the brain is concerned, there is insufficient description for such method.

Lack of enablement

As stated in the MPEP 2164.01(a) "There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". The factors to be considered herein are those set forth as the In re Wands, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (1988) decision.

Nature of invention

The claims are drawn to the method of treating all neurological disorder using compounds of claim 90 or 99 for which an "acidic" moiety or analogous functional group must be found at wherein the R2 moiety is (see carboxylic acid isostere with same ionic function, Patini p.3168 table 43).

Application/Control Number: 09/204,236 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

Not only treating neurological disorder broadly included both peripheral nerve and central nervous system, the neurological disorder also included the administering of such compounds by any and all possible means to the peripheral or central nervous location.

The state of the art and predictability

Treating a neurological disorder such as neurodegenerative disease included those such as Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease etc. that has been well recognized in the art to be literally untreatable (CA 126:324757). In addition, in so far as neuropathies is concerned, it is well recognized that many neuropathies have different etiology and treatment of such condition is highly specific and in absence of specific description of enablement, one skilled in the art are unable to operate such process (see CA 127:174580).

In addition, it is well recognized in the art that neurotrophic factors have local response without involving mechanisms in the cell body (CA 121:50191).

Furthermore, for the CNS related neurological disorders, it is a well-known fact that any compound having CNS efficacy must cross the blood brain barrier. Compounds which have strong acidity such as the instant claims, have been known to have no practical utility in the CNS system due to its inability to cross the blood-brain barrier (see US 6,071,932, col. 2, lines 31-38).

The amount of guidance and working examples

In the specification, it was exclusively disclosed on pages 45-50, topical compositions containing the compounds. No description or examples were found for composition comprising other than topical carrier. No data or example was provided as to show which neurological disorder was effective with respect to which compound as to guide one having ordinary skill to pick and choose for the individual method. In view of the absolute requirement for a compound to cross the blood-brain barrier for it to exercise the efficacy in the CNS, no description or enablement can be found that the claimed compound being compatible in acidity as the carboxylic acid such as 3-piperidine carboxylic acid of the prior art would have any practical CNS method of use (see US 6,071,932 and Patini p.3168 table 43).

The specification provides none of the composition or dosage preparation or guidelines for CNS route of administration, i.e. no guidance was provided in the specification for intracranial administration. Yet, the specification exclusively provided description limited to topical composition, such exclusivity thus serves as description and enablement with teaching away from non-topical route of administration based on the In re Baird guidelines (In re Baird 29 USPQ2d 1550).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celia Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-0679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/204,236 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OACS/Chang Mar, 27, 2006 Celia Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625